THE GENERALIZED PRINCIPAL IDEAL THEOREM IN IMAGINARY QUADRATIC FIELDS WITH CLASS NUMBER 1

推廣的主理想數定理在類數為1之二次虛體中之函數表法

Hai TAO-NING

徐道寧

In a paper of T. Tannaka (Tannaka [1]), he proved the generalized ideal theorem due to him:

- Th. 2. Let K be the ray class field mod.

 \$\xi\$ of the algebraic number field k and denote by \$\xi\$ (K/k) the module of genus (Geschlechtermodul) of K/k. For every ideal \$\mathcal{U}\$ prime to \$\xi\$ there exists an element \$\theta\$ (\$\mathcal{U}\$) ∈ K with the following properties:
 - 1. θ (\mathcal{O}_k) gives a representation of \mathcal{O}_k as a principal ideal mod. f of K: $\mathcal{O}_k = (\theta(\mathcal{O}_k))$ in K, θ (\mathcal{O}_k) $\equiv 1$ mod. f (K/k).
 - 2. Let $\sigma(\mathcal{O}_{l}) = (\frac{K/k}{\mathcal{O}_{l}})$ be the Artin automorphism and define the factor set $\varepsilon(\mathcal{O}_{l}, \mathcal{E}_{r})$ by

$$\varepsilon$$
 (OI, θ -) = $\frac{\theta$ (OI) θ (θ -) σ (OI)

Then ϵ (\mathcal{O}_k , \mathcal{C}_k) (which is obviously a unit $\equiv 1 \mod \mathcal{N}$ (K/k) of K) belongs to the ray mod. ℓ of the ground field k and is symmetric in \mathcal{O}_k , ℓ :

$$\varepsilon$$
 (O1, C-) \in K, ε (C, O1)= ε (O1, C-), ε (O1, C-) \equiv 1 mod. \sharp

In case of an imaginary quadratic ground field, H. Kempfert constructed the explicit expression for θ (\mathcal{O}) with the property 1 in ray class field (Kempfert [2]) and T.N. Hsü constructed the explicit expression with the property 2 in absolute class field (Hsü [3]). Here in this paper a method is given to construct the function θ (\mathcal{O}) with both the properties 1 and 2 in case that the absolute

(22) 師大學報第八期

class number is one, using the result of Kempfert.

Let $\sum = R(\sqrt{m})$ be the imaginary quadratic ground field and $K = K_{\text{f}}$ the ray class field mod. f. K is in the given case generated by the ray class invariant $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R})$, where \mathcal{R} is a ray class. The Artin Automorphism $\sigma(\mathcal{R}) = \sigma(\mathcal{R})$ is defined by

$$\sigma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}): \quad \tau(\mathcal{R}) \longrightarrow \tau(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}_{o}),$$

if $\sigma(\mathfrak{N})$ denotes the Artin Automorphism corresponds the ray class \mathfrak{K} of \mathfrak{N} and \mathfrak{K}_0 any ray class. Let $\sigma(\mathfrak{K}_1), \sigma(\mathfrak{K}_2), \ldots, \sigma(\mathfrak{K}_n)$ be a basis of the Galois group of K/Σ , with the orders f_1 , f_2 , ..., f_n respectively. The subgroup generated by $\sigma(\mathfrak{K}_1), \ldots, \sigma(\mathfrak{K}_{i-1}), \sigma(\mathfrak{K}_{i+1}), \ldots, \sigma(\mathfrak{K}_n)$ leaves a subfield K_i fixed. The Galois group \mathfrak{L}_i of K_i with respect to Σ is isomorphic to the cyclic group generated by $\sigma(\mathfrak{K}_i)$. According to the class field theory of Weber-Takagi (Hasse [4]), K_i is a class field of Σ to an ideal group H_i , whose conductor (Führer) \mathfrak{L}_i is a factor of \mathfrak{L} , the conductor of (K/Σ) .

In the field K_i we can take the value of the function $\theta(\mathcal{L}_i)$ according to Kempfert. The following holds for $\theta(\mathcal{L}_i)$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \theta(\mathcal{C}_{i}) \! \equiv \! 1 & \text{mod. } f(\mathcal{K}_{i}/\Sigma), \\ (\theta(\mathcal{C}_{i})) \! = \! \mathcal{C}_{i}, \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ \theta(\mathcal{Y}_i) & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ &$$

In K holds $\theta(\mathscr{C}_i) \equiv 1 \mod \mathscr{K}(K/\Sigma)$, since $\mathscr{K}(K/\Sigma)$ is a factor of $\mathscr{K}(K_i/\Sigma)$.

To construct the function $\theta(\mathcal{O}_{\ell})$ for any ideal \mathcal{O}_{ℓ} , we make use of the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. If $\theta(\mathcal{O}_k) \in K$ has the property that $\theta(\mathcal{O}_k) \equiv 1 \mod \mathcal{N}(K/\Sigma)$ and $(\theta(\mathcal{O}_k)) = \mathcal{O}_k$, then $\theta(\mathcal{O}_k)\sigma$ is an element of K with the same property for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}(K/\Sigma)$, where $\mathcal{G}(K/\Sigma)$ denotes the Galois group of K relative to Σ .

Proof:

$$\theta(\mathfrak{N}) \equiv 1 \mod \mathbb{F}(K/\Sigma),$$
 $\theta(\mathfrak{N})\sigma \equiv 1 \mod \mathbb{F}((K/\Sigma))\sigma,$
 $(\theta(\mathfrak{N})\sigma) = (\theta(\mathfrak{N}))\sigma = \mathfrak{N}\sigma$

The module of genus $f(K/\Sigma)$ is an invariant ideal in K with respect to Σ , and \mathcal{O}_{K} is a Σ -ideal, hence $(f(Kb\Sigma))\sigma = f(K/\Sigma)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{K}\sigma = \mathcal{O}_{K}$, and it is proved that

$$\theta(\mathcal{O}_{k})\sigma \equiv 1$$
 mod. $f(K/\Sigma)$, $(\theta(\mathcal{O}_{k})\sigma) = \mathcal{O}_{k}$ in Σ .

Lemma 2. Let p_i be the norm of \mathcal{Y}_i , then $\frac{\theta(\mathcal{Y}_i)^{1+\sigma(\mathcal{Y}_i)+\cdots+\sigma(\mathcal{Y}_i^{fi-1})}}{p_{i}^f} = E_i$ with E_i a ray unit of Σ .

Proof:

$$\theta(\mathcal{Y}_i) \in K_i$$

$$1+\sigma(\mathcal{L}_i)+\cdots+\sigma(\mathcal{L}_i^{fi-1})$$

 $\theta(\mathcal{Y}_i)$ is the norm of $\theta(\mathcal{Y}_i)$ to Σ , hence an element

of
$$\Sigma$$
. $(\theta(\mathcal{Y}_i)^{1+\sigma(\mathcal{Y}_i)+\cdots+\sigma(\mathcal{Y}_i^{f_i-1})}) = (p_i^{f_i})$. Since $\theta(\mathcal{Y}_i)^{1+\sigma(\mathcal{Y}_i)+\cdots+\sigma(\mathcal{Y}_i^{f_i-1})}$

(24) 師大學報第八期

 $\equiv 1 \mod f(K_i/\Sigma)$ and is in Σ , $\theta(Y_i)^{1+\sigma(Y_i)+\cdots\sigma+(Y_i^{f_i-1})} \equiv 1 \mod f$ and lemma 2 is proved.

Every ideal & can be represented in one and only one way as

$$\alpha = (\alpha) \pi \mathcal{G}_{i}^{r_{i}}, \quad 0 \leq r_{i} < f_{i},$$

with α in the ray mod. f. Define

$$\theta(\mathcal{O}) = \alpha \pi_{i} \theta(\mathcal{Y}_{i})^{1+\sigma(\mathcal{Y}_{i})+\cdots+\sigma(\mathcal{Y}_{i}^{r_{i}-1})}$$

then $\theta(\mathcal{O}_k) \equiv 1 \mod f(K/\Sigma)$ and $(\theta(\mathcal{O}_k)) = \mathcal{O}_k$. Now we can prove the following

Theorem. The elements $\theta(\mathcal{O}_{\ell})$ of K just defined have both the properties 1 and 2 of theorem 2 of Tannaka.

Proof. The property 1 is already proved. To prove that property 2 is also ture, let

$$\mathcal{C}_{i} = (\alpha) \prod_{i} \varphi_{i}^{r_{i}}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{i} = (\beta) \prod_{i} \varphi_{i}^{s_{i}}$$

$$0 \leq r_{i}, s_{i} < f_{i}$$

be two arbitrary ideals in Σ, and

$$\begin{split} \theta(\mathcal{O}_i) &= \alpha \prod_i \theta(\mathcal{G}_i)^{1+\sigma(\mathcal{G}_i)+\cdots+\sigma(\mathcal{G}_i^{r_i-1})},\\ \theta(\mathcal{C}_i) &= \beta \prod_i \theta(\mathcal{G}_i)^{1+\sigma(\mathcal{G}_i)+\cdots\cdots+\alpha(\mathcal{G}_i^{s_i-1})},\\ \mathcal{O}_i \mathcal{C}_i &= (\alpha \beta) \prod_i \mathcal{G}_i^{r_i+s_i}. \end{split}$$
 Put $t_i = r_i + s_i - f_i$, $n_i = 1$ if $r_i + s_i \geq f_i$, $t_i = r_i + s_i$, $n_i = 0$ if $r_i + s_i < f_i$,

then $(\alpha \beta \prod_{i} p_{i}^{n} i) \prod_{i} \mathcal{X}_{i}^{r} i$ represents $\mathcal{C}_{i}\mathcal{E}_{i}$, and

$$\theta(\mathcal{O}\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{A}\theta \prod_{i} p_{i}^{n} \prod_{i} \theta(\mathcal{Y}_{i})^{1+\sigma(\mathcal{Y}_{i})+\dots+\sigma(\mathcal{Y}_{i}^{t_{i}-1})}.$$

But

$$\begin{split} \sigma\left(\mathcal{O}_{i}\right) &= \underset{i}{\pi} \, \sigma\left(\mathcal{V}_{i}^{r} i\right), \\ \sigma\left(\ell_{-}\right) &= \underset{i}{\pi} \, \sigma\left(\mathcal{V}_{i}^{s} i\right), \end{split}$$

here

$$\begin{split} & \varepsilon\left(\mathfrak{A}, \mathscr{E}\right) = \frac{\theta\left(\mathfrak{A}\right)\theta\left(\mathscr{E}\right)^{\sigma\left(\mathfrak{A}\right)}}{\theta(\mathfrak{A}, \mathscr{E})} \\ & = \frac{\alpha \, \mathbb{T}\theta\left(\mathscr{Y}_{i}\right)^{1+\sigma\left(\mathscr{Y}_{i}\right)+\cdots+\sigma\left(\mathscr{Y}_{i}^{r_{i}-1}\right)} \beta \, \mathbb{T}\theta(\mathscr{Y}_{i})^{(1+\sigma\left(\mathscr{Y}_{i}\right)+\cdots+\sigma\left(\mathscr{Y}_{i}^{s_{i}-1}\right)} \sigma(\mathscr{Y}_{i}^{r_{i}})}{\alpha \, \beta \, \mathbb{T}_{p_{i}}^{n_{i}} \mathbb{T}\theta(\mathscr{Y}_{i})^{1+\sigma\left(\mathscr{Y}_{i}\right)+\cdots\cdots+\sigma\left(\mathscr{Y}_{i}^{r_{i}+s_{i}-1}\right)} \\ & = \frac{\alpha \, \beta \, \mathbb{T}_{i} \, \theta(\mathscr{Y}_{i})^{1+\sigma\left(\mathscr{Y}_{i}\right)+\cdots\cdots+\sigma\left(\mathscr{Y}_{i}^{r_{i}}\right)+\cdots\cdots+\sigma\left(\mathscr{Y}_{i}^{r_{i}+s_{i}-1}\right)}{\alpha \, \beta \, \mathbb{T}_{p_{i}}^{n_{i}} \, \mathbb{T}\theta\left(\mathscr{Y}_{i}\right)^{1+\sigma\left(\mathscr{Y}_{i}\right)+\cdots\cdots+\sigma\left(\mathscr{Y}_{i}^{r_{i}}\right)} \\ & = \frac{\alpha \, \mathbb{T}\theta(\mathscr{Y}_{i})^{(1+\sigma\left(\mathscr{Y}_{i}\right)+\cdots+\sigma\left(\mathscr{Y}_{i}^{r_{i}}\right)\right)\sigma(\mathscr{Y}_{i}^{s_{i}})}{\alpha \, \beta \, \mathbb{T}\, p_{i}^{n_{i}} \, \mathbb{T}\, \theta\left(\mathscr{Y}_{i}\right)^{1+\sigma\left(\mathscr{Y}_{i}\right)+\cdots\cdots+\sigma\left(\mathscr{Y}_{i}^{s_{i}-1}\right)} \\ & = \frac{\theta\left(\mathscr{O}_{i}\right)^{\sigma\left(\mathscr{E}_{i}\right)}\theta\left(\mathscr{E}_{i}\right)}{\theta\left(\mathscr{O}_{i}\mathscr{E}_{i}\right)} \end{split}$$

and

$$\varepsilon(\mathcal{O},\mathcal{C}) = \frac{\pi \theta (\mathcal{Y}_i)^{n_i}}{\pi p_i^{n_i}} \quad \text{is a ray unit in } \Sigma.$$

(26) 師大學報第八期

References

- T. Tannaka, A Generalized Principal Ideal Theorem and a Proof of a Conjecture of Deuring, Annals of Mathematics, 67, (1958), 574—589.
- H. Kempfert, Zum Hauptidealsatz, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik 210 (1962), P. 38.
- T. N. Hsü, Über den Hauptidealsatz für imaginäre quadratische Zahlkörper,
 Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, 1963. P. 49
- H. Hasse, Klassenkörperbericht, Jahresbericht DMV, 35.